P systems with elementary active membranes: Beyond **NP** and **coNP**

Antonio E. Porreca Alberto Leporati Giancarlo Mauri Claudio Zandron

Dipartimento di Informatica, Sistemistica e Comunicazione Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca, Italy

11th Conference on Membrane Computing Jena, Germany, 25 August 2010

Summary

- P systems with active membranes are thoroughly investigated from a complexity-theoretic standpoint
- They have been known to solve NP and coNP problems in polytime, using elementary division
- We improve this result by solving a **PP**-complete problem

$$\mathbf{PP} \subseteq \mathbf{PMC}_{\mathcal{AM}(-d,-n)}$$

Outline

P systems with elementary active membranes

Recogniser P systems and uniformity

The complexity class **PP**

Solving a **PP**-complete problem

Conclusions and open problems

Membrane structure and its contents

- Membranes have a fixed label and a changeable charge
- The charges regulate which set of rules can be applied
- ► In each membrane we have the usual multiset of objects



Rules for restricted elementary active membranes

Object evolution $[a \rightarrow w]_h^{\alpha}$ Send out $[a]_h^{\alpha} \rightarrow []_h^{\beta} b$ Send in $a []_h^{\alpha} \rightarrow [b]_h^{\beta}$ Elementary division $[a]_h^{\alpha} \rightarrow [b]_h^{\beta} [c]_h^{\gamma}$

No dissolution or nonelementary division Maximally parallel application of rules

Uniform families of recogniser P systems

- For each input length n = |x| we construct a P system Π_n receiving as input a multiset encoding x
- Both are constructed by fixed polytime Turing machines
- The resulting P system decides if $x \in L$



Timeline of P systems with active membranes

- Attacking (and solving) NP-complete problems [Păun 1999], uses dissolution and nonelementary division
- Solving NP-complete problems [Zandron et al. 2000], no dissolution nor nonelementary division
- Solving NP-complete problems [Pérez-Jiménez et al. 2003], uniform, no dissolution nor nonelementary division
- **PSPACE** upper bound [Sosík, Rodríguez-Patón 2007]
- Solving PP-complete problems [Alhazov et al. 2009], no nonelementary division, uses either cooperation or postprocessing

The **PP** complexity class

Definition

PP is the class of languages decided by polytime probabilistic Turing machines with error probability strictly less that 1/2

Definition (equivalent)

PP is the class of languages decided by polytime nondeterministic Turing machines such that more than half of the computations accept

How large is **PP**?



The SQRT-3SAT problem

Problem (SQRT-3SAT)

Given a Boolean formula of m variables in 3CNF, do more that $\sqrt{2^m}$ assignments satisfy it?

Fact SQRT-3SAT is **PP**-complete

Encoding SQRT-3SAT instances

- There are $\binom{m}{3}$ sets of 3 variables out of m
- Each variable can be positive or negated (2³ ways)
- Hence there are $n = 8\binom{m}{3}$ possible clauses
- ► We can represent a 3CNF formula by an *n*-bit string
- Checking well-formedness and recovering *m* from *n* are easy (polytime)

An example

• If we have 3 variables, the number of clauses is $8\binom{3}{3} = 8$

Then the formula

$$\varphi = \underbrace{\left(x_1 \lor \neg x_2 \lor x_3\right)}_{3 \text{rd}} \land \underbrace{\left(\neg x_1 \lor x_2 \lor \neg x_3\right)}_{6 \text{th}} \land \underbrace{\left(\neg x_1 \lor \neg x_2 \lor x_3\right)}_{7 \text{th}}$$

is encoded as

 $\langle \varphi \rangle = 0010\ 0110$

A membrane computing algorithm for SQRT-3SAT

Algorithm

Let φ be a 3CNF formula of *m* variables

- 1. Generate 2^m membranes, one for each assignment
- 2. Evaluate φ in parallel in each of these membranes, send out object *t* from them if it is satisfied
- 3. Erase $\lceil \sqrt{2^m} \rceil 1$ instances of t
- 4. Output YES if an instance of t remains and NO otherwise

Phase 3 was first proposed by Alhazov et al. 2009 using cooperative rewriting rules





0 $\underbrace{t_1 t_2 \cdots}_{1}^{0} \underbrace{t_1 f_2 \cdots}_{1}^{0} \underbrace{f_1 t_2 \cdots}_{1}^{0} \underbrace{f_1 t_2 \cdots}_{1}^{0} \underbrace{f_1 f_2 \cdots}_{1}^{0} \underbrace{f_1 f$



0 $(t_1 f_2 \cdots)^0_1 (f_1 t_2 \cdots)^0_1 (f_1 f_2 \cdots)^0_1$ $t_1 t_2 \cdots$ 0













Proposition

There is a uniform construction of the family of P systems solving SQRT-3SAT

Proposition $SQRT-3SAT \in PMC_{AM(-d,-n)}$

Theorem $PP \subseteq PMC_{\mathcal{AM}(-d,-n)}$

In other words...



Conclusions and open problems

- We solved a **PP**-complete problem in polytime using P systems with restricted active membranes
- ► As a consequence $PP \subseteq PMC_{AM(-d,-n)} \subseteq PSPACE$ holds
- However, neither inclusion is known to be strict, and a full characterisation is still missing
- This class is possibly larger than PP
- ► Maybe even PMC_{AM(-d,-n)} = PSPACE holds?

Thanks for your attention!