


Shapes of dependencies in reaction systems




Luca Manzoni • Antonio E. Porreca

Grzegorz Rozenberg

Università degli Studi
di Milano-Bicocca 

Aix-Marseille Université &
Laboratoire d'Informatique
et Systèmes 

Leiden University 
& University of
Colorado Boulder 


Structure vs behaviour

- What are the consequences of **structural restrictions** on the **behaviour** of RS?
- Example: **minimal RS** cannot compute all result functions
- But, for each RS \mathcal{A} there exists a minimal RS \mathcal{B} such that $\text{res}_{\mathcal{A}}^k(T) = \text{res}_{\mathcal{B}}^{2k}(T)$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and state T of \mathcal{A}

Positive dependency graph

- Vertices = set of reactions A
- There is an oriented edge $a \rightarrow b$ iff at least one product of reaction a is a reactant of reaction b

Positive dependency graph




Self-sustaining cycle


A **path** in the (positive) dependency graph such that for each edge $a \rightarrow b$ we have:

- Reaction **a** produces all reactants of **b**: $R_b \subseteq P_a$
- Reaction **a** doesn't produce any inhibitor for **b**: $P_a \cap I_b = \emptyset$


Self-sustaining cycle



Self-sustaining cycle



Self-sustaining cycle



Non self-inhibiting reactions




A set of reactions $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ such that no reaction produces inhibitors for any other reaction in the set:

$I_i \cap P_j = \emptyset$ for all i, j belonging to the set


Otherwise, the set is called **self-inhibiting**

Self-sustaining but also self-inhibiting cycle




**Simple cyclical
dependencies** 


RS with a **single** self-sustaining,
non self-inhibiting dependency cycle




RS with a **single** self-sustaining, non self-inhibiting dependency cycle




RS with a **single** self-sustaining, non self-inhibiting dependency cycle




RS with a **single** self-sustaining, non self-inhibiting dependency cycle



RS with a **single** self-sustaining, non self-inhibiting dependency cycle



RS with a **single** self-sustaining, non self-inhibiting dependency cycle



RS with a **single** self-sustaining,
non self-inhibiting dependency cycle


P_0

P_3



P_1

P_2

RS with a **single** self-sustaining,
non self-inhibiting dependency cycle





Rotations and cycles

The rotations of active reactions along the (unique) cycle in the **dependency graph**  starting from a given configuration correspond to transitions in the **graph of the dynamics** 




Theorem




 **IF** the dependency graph of a RS consists **only one** self-sustaining, non-self-inhibiting cycle of length n

 **THEN** the dynamics of the RS only contains **cycles** of length dividing n , and there is **at least one** such cycle for each divisor of n




Length of the cycles



Length of the cycles




Length of the cycles



Chains of dependency


Chains




A set of one or more cycles pairwise sharing a point




Lemma

 **IF** the dependency graph of a RS contains a chain of two self-sustaining, non self-inhibiting cycles of length m and n

 **THEN** when starting from a configuration where at least one reaction involved in the cycles is enabled, eventually all the reactions will be enabled once every $\text{gcd}(m, n)$ steps




Lemma in action






Lemma in action



 = 0




Lemma in action



 = 1




Lemma in action



 = 2




Lemma in action




 = 3




Lemma in action




 = 4




Lemma in action




 = 5




Lemma in action



 = 6




Lemma in action




 = 7




Lemma in action




 = 8




Lemma in action



 = 9




Lemma in action



All reactions are enabled every $3 = \gcd(3, 6)$ steps

**Flower-shaped
dependencies** 🌻


Flowers 🌻




A set of one or more cycles all sharing a **single** point



Theorem


 **IF** the dependency graph of a RS consists **only** of a **flower** of self-sustaining, non-self-inhibiting cycles (petals) with at least of them of **coprime lengths**

 **THEN** the RS has **exactly two fixed points**






Theorem in action






Theorem in action






Theorem in action






Theorem in action






Theorem in action






Theorem in action






Theorem in action






Theorem in action






Theorem in action






Theorem in action






Theorem in action





Theorem in action



All reactions enabled every $1 = \gcd(3, 4)$ step! Saturation!




Theorem in action

- When all reactions are always enabled the products are always the same: $T = \bigcup \{P_a : a \in A\}$
- Thus the RS enters a nonempty fixed point: $\text{res}_{\mathcal{A}}(T) = T$
- The **second** fixed point is by definition the empty state \emptyset

Chain-shaped dependencies




Theorem

 **IF** the dependency graph of a RS consists **only** of a **chain** of self-sustaining, non-self-inhibiting cycles containing **two cycles of coprime lengths**,

 **THEN** the RS has **exactly two fixed points**




Theorem in action






Theorem in action

activated






Theorem in action



activated




Theorem in action



activated




Theorem in action






Theorem in action






Theorem in action



activated




Theorem in action






Theorem in action






Theorem in action






Theorem in action



saturated




Theorem in action






Theorem in action






Theorem in action



saturated




Theorem in action





Theorem in action



saturated





Conclusions

Summary

Behaviour 🏃 of RS having a dependency graph 🧬 consisting of **self-sustaining, non-self-inhibiting cycles**:

- with a single cycle of length n 🧬, the dynamics of the RS contains only cycles of length dividing n 🏃
- with a **chain or flower with two cycles of coprime length** 🧬, the RS has **exactly two fixed points** 🏃

Future work

- Does restricting the dependency graphs to **cycles**, **chains** and **flowers**  reduce the complexity of decision problems related to the dynamics?  (e.g., **fixed points**, **reachability**)
- Investigate the relationship with **Boolean automata networks** and their **interaction graphs** 
- Investigate more sophisticated dependency graphs  (e.g., **pre-periods**, **multiple intersections** between cycles)

Dziękuję za uwagę!

Thanks for your attention!

